

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9287		
08/455,975	05/3	31/1995	JEFFREY S. RUBIN	40399/299/NI			
26633	7590	03/12/2002					
		VHITE & MCA	EXAMINER				
1666 K STR SUITE 300	,	2006		SAOUD, CHRISTINE J			
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1647				
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/455,975

Applicant(s)

RUBIN et al.

Examiner Christine Saoud

Art Unit **1647**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	et with the corr						
Period	for Reply			1					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	3 MON ⁻	ΓH(S) FROM					
af	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C iter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	cation.							
be	e considered timely. Dispersion for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory		•	,	ing date of this				
- Failu - Any	ommunication. re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b reply received by the Office later than three months after the arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status									
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 13, 2</u>	2001			•				
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This ac	tion is non-final.							
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposi	tion of Claims		175 14						
4) 💢	Claim(s) 38-45, 57-62, 64-86, 88, 89, 91-109, 1	<i>11-119, 132, 13</i> 3	り, 136, 考 is/a	5 -147, 써우-193 ire pending in the applica	ation.				
•	4a) Of the above, claim(s)				sideration.				
5) 💢	Claim(s) 38-45, 57-62, 64-86, 88, 89, 91-109, 12	11-119, 132, 133	3, 136, 137, 4	4⊳- 47, 49 ≰ is/are allowed.					
6) 🗶	Claim(s) 150-174 and 177-193		······································	_ is/are rejected.					
7) 💢	Claim(s) <u>175 and 176</u>			_ is/are objected to.					
8) 🗆	Claims	are s	subject to rest	riction and/or election re	quirement.				
Applica	ntion Papers								
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by t	he Examiner.						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a	a) approve	d b) \square disapproved.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 1.19								
13)□ a)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign $oldsymbol{\square}$ All $oldsymbol{b}$ Some* $oldsymbol{c}$) None of:	oriority under 35 t	J.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d).					
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have			No.					
	3. \square Copies of the certified copies of the priority d	locuments have b	een received						
*S	application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th								
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 11	9(e).					
Attachm	ent(s)								
15) 🔲 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summ	mary (PTO-413) Pap	er No(s)					
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Inform	mal Patent Application	on (PTO-152)					
17) 🔀 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 08/455,975

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 49-55, 63, 87, 90, 110, 121-131, 134-135, 138-139 and 148 have been canceled, claims 66, 76-77 have been amended, and claims 150-193 have been added as requested in the amendment of paper #30, filed 17 April 2001. Claims 38-45, 57-62, 64-86, 88-89, 91-109, 111-119, 132-133, 136-137, 140-147, 149-193 are pending in the instant application.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Any objection or rejection of record which is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 13 December 2001 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 152 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The recitation of "wherein the DNA is expressed in an isolated host

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/455,975

Art Unit: 1647

cell fails to further limit the method of claim 150, because expression of the DNA is already recited in claim 150.

- 6. Claim 175 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 73. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). It is noted that claim 73 indicates that the polypeptide is either glycosylated or non-glycosylated, however, as claim 175 does not indicate as to which, it would also encompass both forms. Claim 176 is to the polypeptide further comprising an N-terminal methionine, however, this limitation is also included in claim 76 which depends from 73, therefore, claim 176 is substantially duplicative of claim 76.
- 7. Claim 178 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The recitation of "wherein the DNA is expressed in an isolated host cell fails to further limit the method of claim 177, because expression of the DNA is already recited in claim 177.

Application/Control Number: 08/455,975 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. Claims 155-162, 177-193 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claims are directed to methods of stimulating epithelial cells by administering KGF or segments of KGF, "wherein said polypeptide has mitogenic activity". However, the activity limitation does not apply to the "segments" which are claimed. In order to practice the methods as claimed, the segments must also possess biological activity. Therefore, the recitation of "segments" does not provide sufficient structure to enable the claimed method because a segment could encompass a peptide with as few as 2 amino acids which would not be expected to be useful for stimulating epithelial cells. This ground of rejection could be avoided if the claims were amended to recite "wherein the segment has mitogenic activity", since such activity is already possessed by the recited polypeptide.

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claims 150-174 and 177-193 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 08/455,975

Art Unit: 1647

Claim 150 recites "a DNA encoding a polypeptide having a sequence comprising amino acids 32-194 of Figure 7". A polypeptide may have a sequence, but the sequence does not comprise amino acids because a sequence is merely a representation of structure on paper. The claim could be clarified by the recitation of "encoding a polypeptide comprising amino acids 32-194 of Figure 7".

Claim 152 was found to be objected to (see above). Claim 154 could be rewritten such that it depends from claim 150 and recites "wherein said DNA is expressed in a bacterial cell, a fungal cell, a mammalian cell or an insect cell".

Claims 155, 163, 167, 171, 177, 181 and 190 recite "polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence 32 to 194 of Figure 7" or "segment of the amino acid sequence 32-194". This does not appear to make sense in reference to amino acids and sequence as a single entity in the current order, especially since there is no "sequence 32 to 194". The claim could be clarified by the recitation of "polypeptide comprising amino acids 32 to 194 of Figure 7". In correcting these claims, the claims should then recite "of said polypeptide" rather than "said sequence".

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 38-45, 57-62, 64-86, 88-89, 91-109, 111-119, 132-133, 136-137, 140-147, 149 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 08/455,975 Page 6

Art Unit: 1647

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Saoud, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-7519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7AM to 3PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Application/Control Number: 08/455,975

Art Unit: 1647

Page 7

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556. If this number is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternate number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD

PRIMARY EXAMINER